

## **Introduction**

The European Council of 29 June 2012 concluded the following:

*"The report "Towards a Genuine Economic and Monetary Union" presented by the President of the European Council, in cooperation with the Presidents of the Commission, Eurogroup and ECB, sets out four essential building blocks for the future EMU: an integrated financial framework, an integrated budgetary framework, an integrated economic policy framework and strengthened democratic legitimacy and accountability. Following an open exchange of views, where various opinions were expressed, the President of the European Council was invited to develop, in close collaboration with the President of the Commission, the President of the Eurogroup and the President of the ECB, a specific and time-bound road map for the achievement of a genuine Economic and Monetary Union, which will include concrete proposals on preserving the unity and integrity of the Single Market in financial services and which will take account of the Euro Area statement and, inter alia, of the intention of the Commission to bring forward proposals under Article 127. They will examine what can be done within the current Treaties and which measures would require Treaty change. In order to ensure their ownership, Member States will be closely associated to the reflections and regularly consulted. There will also be consultations with the European Parliament. An interim report will be presented in October 2012 and a final report before the end of the year."*

The aim of this issues paper is to help structure the consultations with representatives of Member States and the European Parliament as envisaged by the European Council in June following the exchange of views on the report "Towards a Genuine Economic and Monetary Union" which describes four essential building blocks for the future of the EMU (see the report in annex).

For each building block set out in the June report, this issues paper summarises the main points put forward and raises a number of issues. The questions raised in this paper do

not pretend to cover the full scope of the issues linked to each of the building blocks and delegations are encouraged to raise additional ones that they consider important.

In the short run, progress towards a more integrated financial framework, starting with the setting up of single supervision as decided by the Euro Area summit of 29 June, is a top priority. The Commission has submitted legislation on single supervision in September and swift progress and consensus on the other elements of an integrated financial framework are thus necessary.

The consultation process should aim to generate consensus on a roadmap for both what is feasible in the short-term and what is desirable in the longer-term.

## 1. An integrated financial framework

Restoring confidence in the quality of regulation and supervision of financial services is crucial for maintaining the free movement of financial services across the single market. The crisis has shown how doubts over banking risks aggravate sovereign risks. Given this link and the degree of interdependence in a monetary union, a single currency requires the development of a single supervisory system with the resolution and deposit insurance tools to underpin it.

The Commission has presented legislative proposals in September for a single supervisory mechanism with a central role for the ECB for the Euro area through the conferral of specific tasks in line with Article 127(6) TFEU, whilst preserving the unity and integrity of the single market in the field of financial services. Ultimate responsibility for supervision of all banks will lie with the single supervisor, but supervisory tasks will be shared with national supervisors. Among other issues to be addressed will be: appropriate governance (including the separation of functions in the ECB) and accountability; a clear and workable interaction with EBA that maintains its existing tasks and responsibilities including effective intervention in case of disputes between supervisors. **The single supervisory mechanism should be established for banks in the euro area by the end of 2012. Following this, the ESM could have the possibility to recapitalise banks directly.**

The single supervisory mechanism will need to apply the substantive prudential and other internal market rules set out in the single rule book for banks by the EU co-legislators. It is therefore essential that they quickly adopt the existing proposals updating the bank capital requirements (Capital Requirement Directive IV and Capital Requirement Regulation) before the end of 2012, as requested by the European Council.

Agreement should also be found quickly on the existing proposals to upgrade and further align national deposit guarantee schemes and ensure they are adequately resourced and to put in place common bank resolution tools and adequate resourcing in all Member States. These measures are needed for the stability and integrity of the internal market, to ensure that there is a level playing field and that different supervisors and resolution authorities can work together to effectively manage and resolve failures in banks that operate across the EU.

Common supervision requires common resolution and backstops to deal with cases of supervisory failure. Building on the single market measures already tabled, progress is thus needed towards more harmonised deposit insurance and an integrated resolution framework.

### ***Issues for discussion***

- *The euro area has agreed that once the single supervisory mechanism is established for banks in the euro area, the ESM could, following a regular decision, have the possibility to recapitalise banks directly. How should this be implemented?*
- *As regards the future of deposit insurance and resolution schemes, how should fiscal backstop and liquidity support be arranged? What should be the interaction with the ESM?*
- *Beyond banking union, are there other parts of the financial services sector for which the deep interdependence in a monetary union would imply a need for further integration of governance structures (e.g.: systemically relevant market infrastructures such as central clearing houses, central securities depositories, etc.)?*

## **2. Towards an integrated budgetary framework**

The very high levels of economic and financial interdependence, particularly in the euro area, require a qualitative move towards a fiscal union to ensure the smooth functioning of the EMU.

As pointed out in the June report, a fiscal union needs to ensure sound budgetary policies at the national and European levels that contribute to sustainable growth and ensure macro economic stability. It should include effective mechanisms to prevent and correct unsustainable fiscal developments in the Member States. It should also include tools to deal with asymmetric shocks and to help prevent contagion possibly through a central budget for the euro area. This could in turn involve limited common debt issuance as long as the risk sharing is accompanied with commensurate steps towards common decision-making on budgets that safeguard against moral hazard.

### ***Issues for discussion***

- *What further steps could be undertaken to improve the effectiveness and enforcement of the new governance architecture (6- pack, 2- pack and the Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance (TSCG))? Could safeguard clauses (i.e. ex-ante correction mechanisms) for national budgets be established?*
- *The Member States part of the TSCG agreed to coordinate the issuance of sovereign debt. How could such coordination be taken further? Could it include requiring approval for debt issuance beyond the limits defined in fiscal programmes or assigning such debt issuance a junior status?*
- *A fully-fledged fiscal union could entail stronger capacity at the European level (e.g. the creation of a treasury office) and a central budget whose role and functions would need to be defined (stabilisation, risk sharing...).*

## **3. Towards an integrated economic policy framework**

As required under the Treaties, Member States shall regard their economic policies as a matter of common concern. To foster competitiveness and ensure the capacity to adjust to

economic shocks, the smooth functioning of EMU requires stronger coordination, convergence and enforcement in the areas of economic policy. The framework for policy coordination should be made more enforceable. This involves a framework that facilitates labour mobility, promotes more efficient labour markets and facilitates wage and price adjustments for goods and services in the euro area.

### ***Issues for discussion***

- *In addition to recent changes in the governance architecture (e.g. creation of the macro economic imbalances procedure) what further steps could be taken to encourage Member States to restore and maintain a high level of competitiveness in a monetary union?*
- *Are there economic policy areas that require more common standards or policies?*
- *Should the principles in the Europlus Pact that guide policies on competitiveness, employment, fiscal sustainability, financial stability and tax coordination be made more binding? How would such principles be enforced?*

### **4. Strengthening democratic legitimacy and accountability**

Moving towards more pooling of sovereignty on financial, fiscal and structural policies requires strong mechanisms to legitimise the decisions taken in common and to ensure the necessary democratic accountability and political participation. Stronger decision making power at the European level and democratic accountability would reinforce each other. This is essential to build public support for European-wide decisions that have a far reaching impact on the everyday lives of citizens. Citizens should not only be able to enjoy the benefits in terms of more stability and prosperity but also feel that their concerns are recognised and their voices heard.

It will be essential to ensure the close involvement of the European parliament and national parliaments, in the respect of the community method.

## ***Issues for discussion***

- *How to give effect to the cooperation between the EP and national parliaments (based on Protocol 1 TFEU and on Article 13 of the Fiscal Compact) on issues related to EMU?*
  
- *How to enhance the discussion of country-specific issues in the EP (building on the existing “economic dialogues”) and vice-versa, to strengthen debates on European issues in national parliaments?*
  
- *Would a more integrated economic policy framework require dedicated accountability structures specific to the euro area?*
  
- *What measures could be taken to foster the emergence of a genuine European debate transcending discussions along national lines and within national fora/media?*

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*



**EUROPEAN COUNCIL  
THE PRESIDENT**

**Brussels, 25 June 2012**

**TOWARDS A GENUINE ECONOMIC AND MONETARY UNION**

**Report issued on 25 June 2012**

**I. CONSOLIDATING THE ECONOMIC AND MONETARY UNION**

The economic and monetary union (EMU) was established to bring prosperity and stability across Europe. It is a cornerstone of the European Union. Today the EMU is facing a fundamental challenge. It needs to be strengthened to ensure economic and social welfare.

This report, prepared by the President of the European Council in collaboration with the President of the Commission, the President of the Eurogroup and the President of the European Central Bank, aims at developing a vision for the EMU to ensure stability and sustained prosperity. It does so by proposing a strong and stable architecture in the financial, fiscal, economic and political domains, underpinning the jobs and growth strategy.

## *Challenges*

An effective vision has to confront the long-term challenges that the EMU faces. The euro area is diverse and policy-making at the national level is the most effective method for many economic decisions. Yet, national policies cannot be decided in isolation if their effects quickly propagate to the euro area as a whole. Therefore, such national policies must reflect fully the realities of being in a monetary union. Maintaining an appropriate level of competitiveness, coordination and convergence to ensure sustainable growth without large imbalances is essential. This should allow for the appropriate policy mix with the single monetary policy in pursuit of price stability.

But to ensure stability and growth in the euro area, Member States have to act and coordinate according to common rules. There have to be ways on ensuring compliance when there are negative effects on other EMU members. This is necessary to guarantee the minimum level of convergence required for the EMU to function effectively.

Overall, closer EMU integration will require a stronger democratic basis and broad support from citizens. For this reason, it is essential that already the process towards realising this vision is based on wide consultation and participation. Integration and legitimacy have to advance in parallel.

The vision for the future of EMU governance laid out in this report focuses on the euro area Member States as they are qualitatively distinct by virtue of sharing a currency. Nevertheless, the process towards deeper economic and monetary union should be characterised by openness and transparency and be in full compatibility with the single market in all aspects.

## *Vision*

The report proposes a vision for a stable and prosperous EMU based on four essential building blocks:

- *An integrated financial framework* to ensure financial stability in particular in the euro area and minimise the cost of bank failures to European citizens. Such a framework elevates responsibility for supervision to the European level, and provides for common mechanisms to resolve banks and guarantee customer deposits.
- *An integrated budgetary framework* to ensure sound fiscal policy making at the national and European levels, encompassing coordination, joint decision-making, greater enforcement and

commensurate steps towards common debt issuance. This framework could include also different forms of fiscal solidarity.

- *An integrated economic policy framework* which has sufficient mechanisms to ensure that national and European policies are in place that promote sustainable growth, employment and competitiveness, and are compatible with the smooth functioning of EMU.
- Ensuring the necessary *democratic legitimacy and accountability* of decision-making within the EMU, based on the joint exercise of sovereignty for common policies and solidarity.

These four building blocks offer a coherent and complete architecture that will have to be put in place over the next decade. All four elements are necessary for long-term stability and prosperity in the EMU and will require a lot of further work, including possible changes to the EU treaties at some point in time.

## **II. KEY BUILDING BLOCKS**

### **1. An integrated financial framework**

The financial crisis has revealed structural shortcomings in the institutional framework for financial stability. Addressing these shortcomings is particularly important for the euro area given the deep interdependences resulting from the single currency. However, this needs to be done whilst preserving the unity and integrity of the single market in the field of financial services. Therefore, an integrated financial framework should cover all EU Member States, whilst allowing for specific differentiations between euro and non-euro area Member States on certain parts of the new framework that are preponderantly linked to the functioning of the monetary union and the stability of the euro area rather than to the single market.

Building on the single rulebook, an integrated financial framework should have two central elements: single European banking supervision and a common deposit insurance and resolution framework.

*Integrated supervision* is essential to ensure the effective application of prudential rules, risk control and crisis prevention throughout the EU. The current architecture should evolve as soon as possible towards a single European banking supervision system with a European and a national level. The European level would have ultimate responsibility. Such a system would ensure that the supervision of banks in all EU Member States is equally effective in reducing the probability of bank failures and preventing the need for intervention by joint deposit guarantees or resolution funds. To this end, the European level would be given supervisory authority and pre-emptive intervention powers applicable to all banks. Its direct involvement would vary depending on the size and nature of banks. The possibilities foreseen under Article 127(6) TFEU regarding the conferral upon the European Central Bank of powers of supervision over banks in the euro area would be fully explored.

Building on existing and forthcoming Commission proposals, work should be taken forward on deposit insurance and resolution:

*A European deposit insurance scheme* could introduce a European dimension to national deposit guarantee schemes for banks overseen by the European supervision. It would strengthen the credibility of the existing arrangements and serve as an important assurance that eligible deposits of all credit institutions are sufficiently insured.

*A European resolution scheme* to be primarily funded by contributions of banks could provide assistance in the application of resolution measures to banks overseen by the European supervision with the aim of orderly winding-down non-viable institutions and thereby protect tax payer funds.

The deposit insurance scheme and the resolution fund could be set up under the control of a common resolution authority. Such a framework would greatly reduce the need to make actual use of the guarantee scheme. Nevertheless, the credibility of any deposit guarantee scheme requires access to a solid financial backstop. Therefore, as regards the euro area, the European Stability Mechanism could act as the fiscal backstop to the resolution and deposit guarantee authority.

## **2. Towards an integrated budgetary framework**

The financial and debt crisis has underlined high levels of interdependence particularly within the euro area. The smooth functioning of the EMU requires not only the swift and vigorous implementation of the measures already agreed under the reinforced economic governance framework (notably the Stability and Growth Pact and the Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance), but also a qualitative move towards a fiscal union.

In the context, within the euro area, of greater pooling of decision making on budgets commensurate with the pooling of risks, effective mechanisms to prevent and correct unsustainable fiscal policies in each Member State are essential. Towards this end, upper limits on the annual budget balance and on government debt levels of individual Member States could be agreed in common. Under these rules, the issuance of government debt beyond the level agreed in common would have to be justified and receive prior approval. Subsequently, the euro area level would be in a position to require changes to budgetary envelopes if they are in violation of fiscal rules, keeping in mind the need to ensure social fairness.

In a medium term perspective, the issuance of common debt could be explored as an element of such a fiscal union and subject to progress on fiscal integration. Steps towards the introduction of joint and several sovereign liabilities could be considered as long as a robust framework for budgetary discipline and competitiveness is in place to avoid moral hazard and foster responsibility and compliance. The process towards the issuance of common debt should be criteria-based and phased, whereby progress in the pooling of decisions on budgets would be accompanied with commensurate steps towards the pooling of risks. Several options for partial common debt issuance have been proposed, such as the pooling of some short-term funding instruments on a limited and conditional basis, or the gradual roll-over into a redemption fund. Different forms of fiscal solidarity could also be envisaged.

A fully-fledged fiscal union would imply the development of a stronger capacity at the European level, capable to manage economic interdependences, and ultimately the development at the euro area level of a fiscal body, such as a treasury office. In addition, the appropriate role and functions of a central budget, including its articulation with national budgets, will have to be defined.

### **3. Towards an integrated economic policy framework**

In an economic union, national policies should be orientated towards strong and sustainable economic growth and employment while promoting social cohesion. Stronger economic integration is also needed to foster coordination and convergence in different domains of policy between euro area countries, address imbalances, and ensure the capacity to adjust to shocks and compete in a globalised world economy. This is essential for the smooth functioning of the EMU and is an essential counterpart to the financial and fiscal frameworks.

It is important, building on the principles spelled out in the European semester and the Euro Plus Pact, to make the framework for policy coordination more enforceable to ensure that unsustainable policies do not put stability in EMU at risk. Such a framework would be particularly important to guide policies in areas such as labour mobility or tax coordination.

Measures to strengthen the political and administrative capacity of national institutions and foster national ownership of reforms could be taken where necessary, as this is a vital condition for the efficient implementation of growth enhancing reforms.

### **4. Strengthening democratic legitimacy and accountability**

Decisions on national budgets are at the heart of Europe's parliamentary democracies. Moving towards more integrated fiscal and economic decision-making between countries will therefore require strong mechanisms for legitimate and accountable joint decision-making. Building public support for European-wide decisions with a far-reaching impact on the everyday lives of citizens is essential.

Close involvement of the European parliament and national parliaments will be central, in the respect of the community method. Protocol 1 TFEU on the role of national parliaments in the EU offers an appropriate framework for inter-parliamentary cooperation.

### **III. N EXT STEPS--PROPOSAL FOR A WORKING METHOD**

Further work is necessary to develop a specific and time-bound road map for the achievement of the genuine Economic and Monetary Union.

A report could be submitted to the December European Council by the President of the European Council in close collaboration with the President of the Commission, the President of the Eurogroup and the President of the European Central Bank. There will be regular and informal consultations with the Member States and the EU institutions. An interim report could be presented in October 2012.

